UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/776,522                  | 02/12/2004                         | Yohei Makuta         | 0505-1268P          | 4129             |
|                             | 7590 03/17/200<br>ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| PO BOX 747                  |                                    | GEBREMICHAEL, BRUK A |                     |                  |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 |                                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                             |                                    | 3714                 |                     |                  |
|                             |                                    |                      |                     |                  |
|                             |                                    |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                             |                                    |                      | 03/17/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.      | Applicant(s)  |  |
|----------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/776,522           | MAKUTA, YOHEI |  |
| Examiner             | Art Unit      |  |
| BRUK A. GEBREMICHAEL | 3714          |  |

|   | BRUK A. GEBREMICHAEL  | 3714   |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | pears on the cover sheet with the   | e correspondence add   | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE TH  | IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or application, applicant must timely file one of the followin application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 periods:  | on the same day as filing a Notice on<br>ng replies: (1) an amendment, affida<br>opeal (with appeal fee) in compliance              | of Appeal. To avoid abar<br>avit, or other evidence, v<br>e with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the r (3) a Request          |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing d   | ate of the final rejection.   |  |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thin o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.  | re later than SIX MONTHS from the mail<br>or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T   | ing date of the final rejection  | on.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL | extension and the corresponding amount<br>the shortened statutory period for reply or<br>the than three months after the mailing of | nt of the fee. The appropri-<br>iginally set in the final Offic                  | ate extension fee<br>be action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exportant Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),  | to avoid dismissal of the  |   |
|   | and the contract of the state of Clinical and the   | . C 91 ( b ( b   |   |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejectio         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be</li> </ul> </li> </ol>   | consideration and/or search (see N  |  | cause                                     |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in l appeal; and/or  | petter form for appeal by materially  | reducing or simplifying t  | he issues for                             |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling  NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR   |   | ejected claims.  |   |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1   | ,   | Compliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324)                                 |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection   |   | omphane, anonamone (   |   |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   | e, timely filed amendmer   | nt canceling the                          |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   | will be entered and an e   | xplanation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 17-21.  |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16</u> .  |   |  |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action,<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fili entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess</li> </ol>   | o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app  | eal and/or appellant fail  | s to provide a                            |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | tion of the status of the claims after  | entry is below or attach   | ed.                                       |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered   | but does NOT place the application  | in condition for allowan   | ce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s 13. Other:   | s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |   |
| /XUAN M. THAI/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714  |   |  |   |
|   |   |  |   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The additional structural limitation added to the steering handle mechanism, and the additional subframes added to the body of the simulation system change the scope of the claims which raised new issues which would required further search and consideration.